

# The Anti-Corruption Coalition Readiness Scorecard (ACCRS): Strengthening the Impact of Collective Intelligence

The ACCRS is an integrative scorecard that seeks to enhance anti-corruption coalitions' impact by assessing readiness and challenges, at the various stages of coalition development. It tackles many challenges, eg. donor dependency, promotes funding diversification, and fosters collaboration. Though not a complete solution, it guides coalitions towards sustainability and cooperation.

## TOTAL SCORE (16–80)

- Low Rating: A low rating would be closer to 16, indicating a very low level of progress or readiness.
- Median Rating: A median rating would be approximately 48. This indicates an average level of progress or readiness.
- High Rating: A high rating would be closer to 80, representing a high level of progress or readiness.

Component of ACCRS	Illustrative metrics	1	2	3	4	5
1. Stakeholder Analysis	The degree to which the coalition identifies and engages key stakeholders relevant to its anti-corruption efforts, considering their influence, interests, and potential risks related to corruption.					
	Have key stakeholders relevant to our anti-corruption efforts been identified and assessed?					
	How effectively do we engage and involve stakeholders in shaping our anti-corruption initiatives?					
2. Establishing Communication Channels	The degree to which the coalition establishes effective and accessible communication channels among its members, ensuring transparent and inclusive communication about anti-corruption matters.					
	Do we have effective and accessible communication channels within our association?					
	How frequently and effectively do we communicate with members regarding anti-corruption matters?					

3. Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms	How well the coalition follows rules and policies that promote honesty and responsibility, showing that it values being open and trustworthy in its actions.					
	Do we have policies, laws, and regulations established within our association to promote transparency and accountability?					
	How well do we adhere to these measures, such as asset disclosure requirements, conflict of interest policies, and code of conduct?					
4. Capacity Building and Knowledge Sharing	How well the coalition helps its members learn about anti-corruption measures, providing training and sharing useful information to address corruption effectively.					
	Have we conducted training programs on anti-corruption measures for our coalition members?					
	How well do we share knowledge and best practices related to anti-corruption within our coalition ?					
5. Conflict Resolution and Mediation	How well the coalition handles and solves problems related to corruption, providing ways for people to report corruption and find fair solutions.					
	Do we have effective mechanisms in place to resolve conflicts within our members?					
	How accessible and effective are our channels for reporting conflict and seeking redress?					

6. Joint Action and Collaboration	How well the association works together with other organizations to fight corruption, understanding the importance of joining forces and working in partnerships.					
	Have we actively collaborated with other organizations in joint initiatives addressing corruption challenges?					
	To what extent have we shared resources, knowledge, and expertise with other stakeholders in the fight against corruption?					
7. Advocacy and Public Engagement	How well the association speaks up against corruption and involves the public, actively raising awareness about corruption issues and encouraging people to get involved.					
	How committed are we to anti-corruption advocacy and public engagement?					
	What efforts have we made to raise public awareness about corruption issues?					
8. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Adaptation	How well the association keeps track of its anti-corruption efforts, regularly checking progress, making improvements, and adjusting strategies when needed.					
	Do we have a robust system in place to monitor and evaluate our anti-corruption efforts?					
	How well do we adapt our strategies and policies based on evaluation findings and changing circumstances?					
<b>Subtotal</b>						